



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/365,066	07/30/1999	JOSEPH FRUTUOSO	5053-23300	1321

7590 03/27/2002

ERIC A STEPHENSON
CONLEY ROSE & TAYON PC
P O BOX 398
AUSTIN, TX 787670398

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, NGA B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2164

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/365,066

Applicant(s)
Frutoso et al.

Examiner
Nga B. Nguy n

Art Unit
2164



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 30, 1999
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the applica
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from considera
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirem

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 16) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 17) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4 20) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is the answer to the communication filed on July 30, 1999 , which paper has been placed of record in the file.
2. Claims 1-62 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3 The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-12 and 52-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding to claims 1-12 and 52-57, the preamble of the claims recites a method, but the claims contain an apparatus (a computer system). The method claims should contains a series of steps to perform a method.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2164

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

6. Claims 1-28 and 32-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by
Borghesi et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,950,169.

Regarding claim 1, Borghesi discloses a method is utilized by a computer system
comprising:

receiving an incoming transaction from at least one sending trading partner (column 16,
lines 4-10);

reading additional information from an administration system in data communication with
the computer system, wherein the additional information is read in response to the computer
system receiving an incoming transaction from the at least one sending trading partner, and
wherein the additional information is identified by at least one business rule (column 12, lines 14-
58);

generating an outgoing transaction in response to reading the additional information from
the administration system (column 12, line 59-column 13, line 60);

sending the outgoing transaction to at least one receiving trading partner (column 16, lines
46-49).

Regarding claim 2, Borghesi further discloses the at least one business rule comprises one
or more keywords (column 12, lines 19-29).

Art Unit: 2164

Regarding claims 3-4, Borghesi further discloses the at least one business rule comprises one or more logical operators and a string of at least one keyword and at least one operator, and wherein the business rule is entered into the computer system by a user via a user interface.(column 10, lines 29-55 and figures 9-12).

Regarding claim 5, Borghesi further discloses the outgoing transaction comprises the additional information read from the administration system (column 13, lines 60-64).

Regarding claims 6-7, Borghesi further discloses the reading additional information from the administration system in response to the computer system receiving the incoming transaction from the at least one sending trading partner further comprises: extracting the additional information from the administration system according to search criteria wherein search criteria comprise one or more keywords.(column 12, lines 14-30).

Regarding claim 8, Borghesi further discloses queuing the outgoing transaction in response to the computer system generating the outgoing transaction (column 13, line 60-column 14, line 8).

Regarding claim 9, Borghesi further discloses the computer system receiving the incoming transaction from the at least one sending trading partner through an industry clearinghouse system (column 5, lines 50-65).

Regarding claim 10, Borghesi further discloses the computer system sending the outgoing transaction to the at least one receiving trading partner through an industry clearinghouse system (column 5, lines 50-65).

Art Unit: 2164

Regarding claim 11, Borghesi further discloses the computer system translating the outgoing transaction from a first data format to a second data format before sending the outgoing transaction to the at least one receiving trading partner, wherein the second data format is an industry standard data format (column 21, lines 15-17, 53-62).

Regarding claim 12, Borghesi further discloses the incoming transaction is an insurance-related transaction (column 4, lines 20-22).

Regarding claims 52-56, Borghesi further discloses at least one business rule comprises: a receiving trading partner identifier, an administration system identifier, a transaction identifier, a transaction status, a sending trading partner identifier (column 9, lines 18-32).

Regarding claim 57, Borghesi further discloses the business rule is entered into a database (column 12, lines 14-22).

Regarding claim 13, Borghesi discloses a system comprising: a CPU; a database coupled to the CPU; an administration system coupled to the CPU; a memory coupled to the CPU, wherein the memory stores one or more computer programs executable by the CPU (column 6, lines 5-32); wherein the computer programs are executable to:

store a trading relationship between trading partners of a transaction, wherein the trading relationship is stored in the database, wherein at least one trading partner is a sending trading partner and at least one trading partner is a receiving trading partner (column 4, lines 25-46);

receive an incoming transaction from the at least one sending trading partner (column 16, lines 4-10);

Art Unit: 2164

read additional information from the administration system in response to receiving the incoming transaction from the at least one sending trading partner, wherein the additional information is identified by at least one business rule (column 12, lines 14-58);

generate an outgoing transaction in response to reading the additional information from the administration system (column 12, line 59-column 13, line 60);

send the outgoing transaction to the at least one receiving trading partner, wherein the at least one receiving trading partner is identified in the trading relationship (column 16, lines 46-49).

Regarding claim 14, Borghesi further discloses the business rule comprises a string of at least one keyword and at least one operator, and wherein the business rule is entered into the computer system by a user via a user interface (column 10, lines 29-55 and figures 9-12).

Regarding claim 15, Borghesi further discloses the at least one business rule is defined by a user through a user interface (column 9, lines 52-55).

Regarding claim 16, Borghesi further discloses receiving the incoming transaction from the at least one trading partner through an industry clearinghouse system (column 5, lines 50-65).

Regarding claim 17, Borghesi further discloses the incoming transaction is an insurance-related transaction (column 4, lines 20-22).

Claims 18-22, 28, 32-38, 58-62 are written in computer software that parallel limitations found in claims 1-4, 57, 5-12, 52-56 as discussed above, therefore, are rejected by the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2164

Regarding claims 23-27, Borghesi further discloses the administration system form which additional information is read is specified by a map, wherein the map comprises a relationship between the outgoing transaction and a source for the additional information and the map is specified by a user through a user interface; generating the map comprises: selecting one or more source fields, wherein each source field corresponds to the source for the additional information; selecting a destination field, wherein each destination field corresponds to the outgoing transaction, the value of the destination field is a sum of respective values of the one or more selected source fields, a value of the destination field as a function of the one or more source fields.(figures 10-12, column 11, lines 33-44 and column 13, lines 49-57).

Regarding claims 38-44, Borghesi further discloses the incoming transaction is an insurance-related transaction, the outgoing transaction is an insurance-related transaction, an annuity asset pricing transaction, a positions and valuation focused refresh transaction, an insurance pricing transaction, a commission settlement transaction (column 4, lines 25-30, column 13, lines 45-53, column 10, lines 25-28, and column 16, lines 12-15).

Regarding claim 45, Borghesi further discloses the sending trading partner is the receiving trading partner (column 16, lines 4-22).

Regarding claim 46, Borghesi further discloses the carrier medium is a memory medium (column 6, lines 5-14).

Claims 47-51 are written in computer software that parallel limitations found in claims 13, 14, 57, 12, 46 discussed above, therefore are rejected by the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2164

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borghesi et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,950,169 in view of Official notice taken by Examiner.

Regarding claims 29-31, Borghesi does not teach the computer system implements storing a schedule in memory, wherein the schedule relates to the incoming transaction, and wherein the schedule comprises: a predetermined time for receiving the incoming transaction from the at least one sending trading partner, a predetermined time for reading the additional information from the administration system, a predetermined time for sending the outgoing transaction to the at least one receiving trading partner. Official notice is taken that to schedule an event in the computer system is old and well-known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature above with Borghesi's for the purpose of providing more convenient for the user to receiving an incoming transaction at a specified date and time.

Art Unit: 2164

Conclusion

9. Claims **1-62** are rejected.

10. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Moore et al (US 5,930,759) discloses method and system for processing health care electronic data transaction.

Peterson et al (US 5,903,873) discloses a system for registering insurance transactions and communicating with a home office.

Glaser et al (US 5,444,841) discloses graphical user interface control for replicating data fields in forms.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703)306-2901. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent A. Millin, can be reached on (703)308-1065.

12. **Any response to this action should be mail to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
c/o Technology Center 2700
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2164

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 308-5397 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II,

2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington.

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Nga B. Nguyen
March 21, 2002



VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100